Case 2:05-cv-04591-MCRYIIDCOMER/SHEFFILE 08/30/05 Page 1 of 25 **∕** JS 44 (Rev. 11/04) The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) DEFENDANTS Cálvin Broadus, c/o Proskauer Rose LLP Gary Barbera Enterprises, Inc. 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3200 7810 Roosevelt Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90067 Philadelphia, PA 19152 County of Residence of First Listed (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles County, CA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Ellen Rosen Rogoff (Pa. I.D. #43648), Eric M. Hurwitz (Pa. I.D. #84251) Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP 2600 One Commerce Square Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 564-8000 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State □ 4 □4 ☐1 U.S. Government ☑3 Federal Question Incorporated or Principal Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Place of Business In This State Citizen of Another State \square 2 \square 2 ☐2 U.S. Government □4 Diversity Incorporated and Principal 5 🔲 5 Place of Business In Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Another State Citizen or Subject of a \square 3 \square 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 □6 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES ☐ 400 State Reapportionment PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 610 Agriculture 110 Insurance □310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury-620 Other Food & Drug 410 Antitrust 120 Marine 430 Banks and Banking 130 Miller Act ☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice 625 Drug Related Seizure 423 Withdrawal of ☐ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 28 USC 157 450 Commerce/ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 460 Deportation ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 640 R.R. & Truck Slander ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal 470 Racketeer Influenced & Enforcement of PROPERTY RIGHTS 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product 650 Airline Regs. and Corrupt Organi-Judgment 820 Copyrights ☐ 151 Medicare Act Liability Liability 660 Occupational zations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 830 Patent PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted 340 Marine Safety/Health 840 Trademark ☐ 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud 690 Other Student Loans Liability ☐ 371 Truth in Lending SOCIAL SECURITY 810 Selective Service LABOR (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal 850 Securities/Commodities/ 710 Fair Labor Standards 861 HIA (1395ff) 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Exchange of Veteran's Benefits 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 385 Property Damage Customer Challenge Product Liability **875** ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 863 DIW C/DIW W(405(g)) 12 USC 3410 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 190 Other Contract 864 SSID Title XVI 890 Other Statutory ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting 865 RSI (405(g)) Actions ■ 196 Franchise & Disclosure Act ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Act 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 220 Foreclosure 442 Employment Sentence FEDERAL TAXSUITS 893 Environmental Matters 443 Housing/ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment **Habeas Corpus:** 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff ■ 894 Energy Allocation Ac■ 895 Freedom of Informa-894 Energy Allocation Act 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 240 Torts to Land Accommodations ☐ 530 General or Defendant) 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty Security Act tion Act 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/ 871 IRS- Third Party 900 Appeal of Fee Deter-Disabilities -26 USC 7609 mination Under 555 Prison Condition Employment Equal Access to ☐ 446 Amer. w/ Justice Disabilities - Other ☐ 950 Constitutionality of 440 Other Civil Rights State Statutes (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Appeal to V. ORIGIN Transferred from District Judge Removed from \square 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or Multidistrict from Magistrate \square 2 **X** 1 Original Appellate Court Judgment Reopened (specify) Litigation State Court Proceeding VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Status under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause. (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1125(a), 1125(c) Brief description of cause: Lanham Act - Trademark Infringement & Unfair Competition

VII. REQUESTED complaint:	D IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS	S IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ 2,000,0)00	CHECK	YES only if	demanded in
COMPLAIN	Т:	UNDER F.R.C	C.P. 23		Л	JRY DEMAND:	⊠ Yes	□ No
VIII. RELATED C	CASE(S)	(See instructions):			•			
IF ANY	N/A	JUDGE	DO	CKET NUMBER			_	
DATE			SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD				
August 30, 2005			EH 449					
FOR OFFICE USE	E ONLY							
RECEIPT #		AMOUNT	APPLYING	IFP	JUDGE	MAG.	JUDGE	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

 ${\bf FOR\ THE\ EASTERN\ DISTRICT\ OF\ PENNSYLVANIA-DESIGNATION\ FORM\ to\ be\ used\ by\ counsel\ to\ indicate\ the\ category\ of\ the\ case\ for\ the\ purpose\ of\ assignment\ to\ appropriate\ calendar.}$

Address of Plaintiffs:	Calvin Broadus, c/o Proskauer Rose LLP, 2049 Century	y Park East	Suite 3200), Los Angeles, CA 90067		
Address of Defendants: Gary Barbera Enterprises, Inc., 7810 Roosevelt Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19152						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia, PA						
Does this civil action stock? (Attach two co	involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any par opies of the Disclosure Statement Form in Accordance w	rent corpora	ition and an Civ. P. 7.1(y publicly held corporation own (a))	ning 10% or i Yes□	more of its No ☑
Does this case involve	e multidistrict litigation possibilities?				Yes□	No 🖾
RELATED CASE IF	4NY					
Case Number:	Judge			Date Terminated: _		
Civil cases are deeme	ed related when yes is answered to any of the following of	questions:				
Is this case related the action in this contact.	ted to property included in an earlier numbered suit pendourt?	ling or with	in one year	previously terminated	Yes□	No 🏻
2. Does this case i	nvolve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same tra	ansaction as	a prior suit	t pending or within one		
	terminated action in this court?				Yes□	No ⊠*
3. Does this case i	nvolve the validity or infringement of a patent already in previously terminated action in this court?	suit or any	earlier nur	nbered case pending or		
					Yes□	No 🛛
_ `	ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	В.	Diversii	ty Jurisdiction Cases:		
A. Federal Question 1.	Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1.		Insurance Contract and Othe	er Contracts	
2.	FELA	2.		Airplane Personal Injury		
3.	Jones Act-Personal Injury	3.		Assault, Defamation		
4.	Antitrust	4.	Щ	Marine Personal Injury		
5.	Patent	5.		Motor Vehicle Personal Inju	-	
6.	Labor-Management Relations	6.	Щ	Other Personal Injury (Pleas	e notify)	
7.	Civil Rights	7.	H	Products Liability		
8.	Habeas Corpus	8.	H	Products Liability — Asbest	tos	
9.	Securities Act(s) Cases	9.	Ш	All other Diversity Cases (Please specify)		
10.	Social Security Review Cases					
11. (Please	All other Federal Question Cases specify) Trademark and Unfair Competition					
	ARBITRATI (Check	ON CE				
I, Eric M. Hurwitz	z, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify:	77	0 //			
Pursuan case exc	at to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to ceed the sum of \$100,000.00 exclusive of interest	the best o and costs;	f my know	vledge and belief, the damag	es recovera	ble in this civil action
Relief o	ther than monetary damages is sought.					
DATE August 3	0, 2005	EH 449			84251	
		Eric M.	Hurwitz,	Esquire	Attorne	y I.D.#
	NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by	jury only i	if there has	s been compliance with F.R.	C.P. 38.	
* I certify that, to mabove.	y knowledge, the within case is not related to any case no	ow pending	or within o	ne year previously terminated a	ction in this	court except as noted
DATE: August 3	0, 2005	<u>EH 449</u>			84251 Attorne	y I.D.#

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

 ${\bf FOR\ THE\ EASTERN\ DISTRICT\ OF\ PENNSYLVANIA-DESIGNATION\ FORM\ to\ be\ used\ by\ counsel\ to\ indicate\ the\ category\ of\ the\ case\ for\ the\ purpose\ of\ assignment\ to\ appropriate\ calendar.}$

Address of Plaintiffs: Calvin Broadus, c/o Proskauer Rose LLP, 2049 Centur	y Park East	, Suite 3200	0, Los Angeles, CA 90067				
Address of Defendants: Gary Barbera Enterprises, Inc., 7810 Roosevelt Bould	levard, Phila	adelphia, P	A 19152		<u> </u>		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia, PA							
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any pa stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in Accordance w	rent corpora vith Fed. R.	ation and ar Civ. P. 7.10	ny publicly held corporation own (a))	ning 10% or t Yes□	nore of its No ⊠		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?						
RELATED CASE IF ANY							
Case Number: Judge			Date Terminated: _				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following	questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pendaction in this court?	ding or with	in one year	previously terminated	Yes□	No ⊠		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same tr year previously terminated action in this court?	ansaction as	s a prior sui	it pending or within one	_	_		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already i within one year previously terminated action in this court?	n suit or any	earlier nu	mbered case pending or	Yes□	No ⊠*		
				Yes□	No 🛛		
CIVIL: (Place In ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Ouestion Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other	B. 1.	Diversi	ity Jurisdiction Cases: Insurance Contract and Other	er Contracts			
Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Trademark and Unfair Competition ARBITRATI (Check I, Eric M. Hurwitz, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to case exceed the sum of \$100,000.00 exclusive of interest	the best o	te Category f my knov	?)	se notify) tos	ble in this civil action		
DATE August 30, 2005	EH 449 Eric M	Hurwitz,	Esquire	84251 Attorne	y I.D.#		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by	jury only	if there ha	as been compliance with F.R.	.C.P. 38.			
* I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case n above.	ow pending	or within	one year previously terminated a	ction in this	court except as noted		
DATE: August 30, 2005	EH 449)		84251 Attorne	y 1.D.#		

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CALVIN BROA	DUS,	: :	
	Plaintiff,	: Civil Action No.	
vs.		: :	
GARY BARBEI	RA ENTERPRISES, INC.,	: : JURY TRIAL DE M	IANDED
	Defendant	: :	
shall complete a complaint and se form.) In the even defendant shall, other parties, a cobelieves the case	th the Civil Justice Expense and Del case Management Track Designation rve a copy on all defendants. (See § ent that a defendant does not agree which its first appearance, submit to the ase management track designation for should be assigned. OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MARKET MA	n Form in all civil cases at the 1:03 of the plan set forth or with the plaintiff regarding sate clerk of court and serve or orm specifying the track to we	ne time of filing the a the reverse side of this aid designation, that an the plaintiff and all which that defendant
SELECT ONE			
(a)	Habeas Corpus — Cases brought uthrough §2255.	ınder 28 U.S.C. §2241	()
(b)	Social Security — Cases requesting the Secretary of Health and Human Social Security Benefits.		()
(c)	Arbitration — Cases required to be under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	e designated for arbitration	()
(d)	Asbestos — Cases involving claim property damage from exposure to		()
(e)	Special Management — Cases that through (d) that are commonly refered special or intense management reverse side of this form for a deta	erred to as complex and that not by the court. (See	
	management cases.)		()
(f)	Standard Management — Cases the of the other tracks.	nat do not fall into any one	(X)
August 30, 2005	EH 449		Plaintiff
Date	Attorney-at-	·Law	Attorney for
215-564-8000 Telephone	215-564-812 FAX Number		ehurwitz@stradley.com E-Mail Address

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CALVIN BROADUS,	
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO.
V. GARY BARBERA ENTERPRISES, INC., Defendant	TO: Gary Barbera Enterprises, Inc. 7810 Roosevelt Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19152
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon	
PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address) Ellen Rosen Rogoff, Esquire Eric M. Hurwitz, Esquire Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP 2600 One Commerce Square Philadelphia, PA 19103	
an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint	
MICHAEL E. KUNZ, CLERK OF COURT	Date
(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	

) 440 (Rev 1/90) Sum	mons in a Civil Action			
	TURN OF SERVICE			
Servic	e of the Summons and Complain	t was made by me ¹	DATE	
NAME OF SER			TITLE	
Check one box	below to indicate appropriate m	ethod of service		
s -	erved personally upon the defend	dant. Place where served:		
d	eft copies thereof at the defendar iscretion then residing therein. Jame of person with whom the su			of suitable age and
R				
- - -				
		STATEMENT	OF SERVICE FEES	
TRAVEL		SERVICES		TOTAL
		DECLARAT	TION OF SERVER	<u> </u>
and St	clare under penalty of perjury untatement of Service Fees is true a sted on			oing information contained in the Return of Service
		Address of Server		

¹⁾ As to who may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CALVIN BROADUS, c/o Proskauer Rose LLP 2049 Century Park East Suite 3200 Los Angeles, CA 90067,

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff,

VS.

GARY BARBERA ENTERPRISES, INC., 7810 Roosevelt Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19152,

Defendant

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Calvin Broadus, by his attorneys, Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP and Proskauer Rose LLP, for his Complaint against Defendant, Gary Barbera Enterprises, Inc., alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff, Calvin Broadus ("Broadus"), is the internationally known musical artist and actor professionally known as Snoop Dogg. He is the owner of the federally registered SNOOP DOGG trademark. In a blatant invasion of Broadus' trademark rights and rights of publicity, Defendant, Gary Barbera Enterprises, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Barbera") has used – without requesting (much less obtaining) authorization – Broadus' likeness in advertisements promoting Defendant's automobile business. Broadus therefore brings this action to recover monetary damages for, and to enjoin further, trademark infringement, dilution,

unfair competition, and violation of his rights of privacy and publicity under the laws of the United States and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 2. Broadus is a citizen and resident of the State of California.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with a principal place of business at 7810 Roosevelt Boulevard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19152. Upon information and belief, Defendant operates an automobile dealership under the trade name "Gary Barbera #1 Dodgeland," with an address located at 6719 Ridge Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19128.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an action for trademark infringement in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114; for unfair competition in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a); for dilution under Section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a); and for trademark infringement, unfair competition, and unauthorized use of likeness under the statutory and common law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the Lanham Act claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, and 1338(a). The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and the action is between citizens of different states. Therefore, diversity jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the related state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b) and principles of supplemental jurisdiction as reflected in 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 6. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because Defendant is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a substantial part of

the events which give rise to the claims herein described occurred in this district.

FACTS

- 7. Broadus has been a prominent recording artist and performer for over a decade, sold millions of albums, and appeared in numerous feature films, including <u>Starsky and Hutch</u>, <u>Training Day</u>, and <u>Old School</u>. Broadus is also involved with multiple charities, including his Snoop Youth Football League, which works with inner-city youth.
- 8. Broadus is the owner of United States Trademark Registration No.

 2,697,128 for the SNOOP DOGG trademark for multiple goods and services, including musical sound recording, video recordings, clothing and apparel, and entertainment services in the nature of live musical performances and music-based entertainment. A copy of the United States Patent and Trademark Office database record for SNOOP DOGG is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

 Broadus uses his SNOOP DOGG trademark as his professional and performing name in connection with his career as a recording and performing artist, and television and motion picture actor. In addition, Broadus' speech pattern associated with his professional likeness and the SNOOP DOGG trademark are well-known and attributed to him.
- 9. Broadus has developed a valuable interest in his professional likeness, persona, speech pattern, and SNOOP DOGG trademark through substantial investment of time, effort, and money.
- 10. As a result of his fame and popularity as a musical artist and actor,
 Broadus regularly receives financially lucrative offers to license use of his trademark and
 professional name, likeness, persona, and speech pattern to promote a wide variety of products.
 Broadus has carefully limited his merchandising activities to contractual arrangements by which
 he maintains control over the manner in which his professional likeness, persona, and speech

pattern and trademark are used.

- 11. In or about August 2005, Defendant used Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark, without authorization, in an advertisement for Defendant's "Gary Barbera #1 Dodgeland" that was published in one or more Philadelphia, Pennsylvania area newspapers.
- #1 Dodgeland" appeared in the Philadelphia Daily News featuring a photograph of Broadus including the words "Is Bar-DIZZLE the SH-izzle? Boy I Gu-IZZLE!" These advertisements used the distinct speech pattern employed by Broadus and associated with his professional likeness and persona and SNOOP DOGG trademark. Copies of the August 22, 2005 advertisements are attached to this Complaint as Exhibit "B."
- 13. Defendant's misappropriation of Snoop Dogg's professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark in the Barbera advertising campaign, as illustrated in the August 22, 2005 advertisements, was unlawful and unauthorized.
- 14. Upon information and belief, the unauthorized use of the SNOOP DOGG trademark and Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern in, at a minimum, the August 22, 2005 advertisements has caused confusion in the marketplace among consumers and among potential marketing and promotional partners of Broadus. All of these potential consumers, potential marketing partners, and potential promoters are likely to believe that the advertising campaign, the August 22, 2005 advertisements, and Gary Barbera #1 Dodgeland are authorized or endorsed by Broadus.
- 15. As a result of Defendant's unlawful and unauthorized use of Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOG trademark, Broadus has

suffered monetary damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but not less than \$2,000,000.

16. In addition and as a result of the foregoing unlawful acts of the Defendant, Broadus is suffering irreparable harm for which he has no adequate remedy at law. Unless Defendant is restrained by this Court from future unlawful and unauthorized use of Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark, Broadus will continue to suffer irreparable harm.

COUNT ONE (Lanham Act Trademark Infringement, 15 U.S.C. § 1114)

- 17. Plaintiff Broadus repeats and reasserts the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 as fully set forth herein.
- 18. Broadus is the owner of a federal trademark registration for the SNOOP DOGG trademark.
- 19. By his registration and extensive use and promotion of the SNOOP DOGG trademark, Broadus has established a strong association in the public mind between the SNOOP DOGG trademark and the goods and services offered by Broadus.
- 20. Broadus has also established a strong association in the public mind between his distinctive manner of speech and his professional likeness and SNOOP DOGG trademark.
- 21. Without Broadus' authorization or consent, Defendant has used the SNOOP DOGG trademark and/or a mark that is confusingly similar to the SNOOP DOGG trademark in interstate commerce to endorse and promote Defendant's own services.
- 22. Through its advertising, Defendant has also used Broadus' distinctive manner of speech in association with Broadus' professional likeness and SNOOP DOGG

trademark to endorse and promote Defendant's own services.

- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of offering services using Broadus' trademark have caused actual confusion, mistake, and deception among relevant consumers as to endorsement and sponsorship of Defendant's services. Defendant's acts have deceived the relevant public into believing that Defendant's services are associated with or are otherwise endorsed, sponsored, or authorized by Broadus, all to the damage and detriment of Broadus' reputation, good will, and sales.
- 24. Defendant's unlawful acts constitute trademark infringement in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- Defendant's acts have been willful, deliberate, and intended to benefitDefendant at Broadus' expense.
- 26. As a result of the unlawful acts described in this Complaint, Broadus is entitled to recover from the Defendant all damages that Broadus has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits, and advantages obtained by Defendant a result of its infringing acts alleged above in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 27. In addition, because Broadus has no adequate remedy at law to compensate him fully for the damages caused by Defendant's unlawful acts, as described herein, Broadus is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant from unauthorized and unlawful use of Plaintiff's SNOOP DOGG trademark.
- 28. As this is an exceptional case pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, Broadus is further entitled to three times the amount of profits or damages, whichever is greater, and attorneys' fees.

COUNT TWO (Lanham Act Unfair Competition, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 29. Plaintiff Broadus repeats and reasserts the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 28 as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. By misappropriating and using Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark, Defendant has misrepresented to the relevant public that Broadus has endorsed and sponsored Barbera's advertising campaign, including the August 22, 2005 advertisements, and Gary Barbera's #1 Dodgeland, thereby creating a likelihood of confusion as to the source or sponsorship of Defendant's business and services.
- 31. Defendant has unlawfully used Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark in interstate commerce to sell and promote Defendant's services for profit and benefit. Defendant's use of Broadus' properties falsely represents or creates the impression in the consumer's mind that Defendant and Broadus are associated, connected, or affiliated. Such false representation is likely to cause damage to Broadus' business reputation, image, and goodwill.
- 32. Defendant has falsely asserted rights in Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark. By these acts and associated acts, including (a) complete lack and denial of attribution and (b) misrepresentation of a relationship between Defendant and Broadus, Defendant has made false and misleading representations and laid false claim to the intellectual property of Broadus--all to the harm of Broadus.
- 33. Defendant's use of designations the same as or deceptively similar to Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark, including use in commerce of these designations in connection with its advertising campaign,

and Defendant's use of Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark in promoting its various services falsely designate the origin of such services as being in some way connected with or licensed, authorized, or approved by Broadus.

- 34. Defendant's unlawful conduct constitutes unfair competition, false representation, and false designation of origin in violation of Lanham Act Section 43(a), 15 U.S.C. §1125(a).
- 35. Defendant's acts have been willful, deliberate, and intended to benefit Defendant at Broadus' expense.
- 36. As a result of the unlawful acts described in this Complaint, Broadus is entitled to recover from the Defendant all damages that Broadus has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits, and advantages obtained by Defendant a result of its unfair acts alleged above in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 37. In addition, because Broadus has no adequate remedy at law to compensate him fully for the damages caused by Defendant's unlawful acts, as described herein, Broadus is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant from unauthorized and unlawful use of Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark.
- 38. As this is an exceptional case pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, Broadus is further entitled to three times the amount of the profits or damages, whichever is greater, and attorneys' fees.

COUNT THREE (Pennsylvania Trademark Infringement)

39. Plaintiff Broadus repeats and reasserts the allegations contained in

paragraphs 1 through 38 as if fully set forth herein.

- 40. Defendant's acts and infringements described herein constitute trademark infringement under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, including, without limitation, 54 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 1126.
- 41. Defendant's acts have been willful, deliberate, and intended to benefit Defendant at Broadus' expense.
- 42. As a result of the unlawful acts described in this Complaint, Broadus is entitled to recover from Defendant all damages that Broadus has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits, and advantages obtained by Defendant a result of its infringing acts alleged above in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 43. In addition, because Broadus has no adequate remedy at law to compensate him fully for the damages caused by Defendant's unlawful acts, as described herein, Broadus is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant from unauthorized and unlawful use of Broadus' SNOOP DOGG trademark.

COUNT FOUR (Pennsylvania Unfair Competition)

- 44. Plaintiff Broadus repeats and reasserts the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 43 as if fully set forth herein.
- 45. Defendant's acts and infringements described herein constitute unfair competition in violation of the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 46. Defendant's acts have been willful, deliberate, and intended to benefit Defendant at Broadus' expense.
 - 47. As a result of the unlawful acts described in this Complaint, Broadus is

entitled to recover from Defendant all damages that Broadus has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits, and advantages obtained by Defendant a result of its infringing acts alleged above in an amount to be determined at trial.

48. In addition, because Broadus has no adequate remedy at law to compensate him fully for the damages cause by Defendant's unlawful acts, as described herein, Broadus is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant from unauthorized and unlawful use of Broadus' professional likeness, persona, and speech pattern and SNOOP DOGG trademark.

COUNT FIVE (Unauthorized Use of Likeness, 42 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 8316)

- 49. Plaintiff Broadus repeats and reasserts the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 48 as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. Broadus' likeness has "commercial value" within the meaning of 42 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 8316. Indeed, Broadus' likeness has enormous commercial value.
- 51. Defendant used Broadus' likeness in the Barbera advertising campaign, including the August 22, 2005 advertisements, for commercial or advertising purposes without written consent by Broadus or any person, firm, or corporation authorized in writing by Broadus to license his likeness for commercial or advertising purposes.
- 52. Defendant's unauthorized use of Broadus' likeness in the Barbera advertising campaign, including the August 22, 2005 advertisements, constitutes a violation of Pa. Cons. Stat. § 8316.
- 53. Defendant's acts have been willful, deliberate, and intended to benefit Defendant at Broadus' expense.

- 54. As a result of the unlawful acts described in this Complaint, Broadus is entitled to recover from the Defendant all damages that Broadus has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits, and advantages obtained by Defendant a result of its infringing acts alleged above in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 55. In addition, because Broadus has no adequate remedy at law to compensate him fully for the damages caused by Defendant's unlawful acts, as described herein, Broadus is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant from unauthorized and unlawful use of Broadus' professional likeness.

COUNT SIX (Trademark Dilution, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c) and 42 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 1124)

- 56. Plaintiff Broadus repeats and reasserts the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 55 as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. This sixth claim is for trademark dilution under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c) and 54 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 1124.
- 58. Broadus' SNOOP DOGG trademark has a distinctive quality in the marketplace which is being diluted by the conduct of Defendant.
- 59. Broadus' SNOOP DOGG is famous due to, among other factors, the inherent and acquired distinctiveness of the mark, the duration of Broadus' use and extent of advertising and publicity, the geographical extent of the trading area in which Broadus used his mark, and the degree of exposure of Broadus' mark in the geographical trading area and in the entertainment industry.
- 60. Defendant's use of designations the same as or substantially similar to Broadus' SNOOP DOGG trademark, including use in commerce of these designations in

connection with its advertising campaign, and Defendant's use of designations the same as or substantially similar to Broadus' SNOOP DOGG trademark in promoting its various services have tended and will tend to dilute the distinctive quality of Broadus' mark and further have diminished and will diminish the goodwill associated with that mark.

- 61. Defendant's dilution of Broadus' trademark has caused irreparable damage to Broadus and Defendant's use of Broadus' trademark will continue to cause such damage unless enjoined by this Court.
 - 62. Broadus has no adequate remedy at law for Defendant's actions.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Calvin Broadus, prays for judgment against Defendant, Gary Barbera Enterprises, Inc., as follows:

- 1. Preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining Defendant, and any of its officers, directors, shareholders, agents, servants, representatives, employees, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, and all others acting in concert, participation, or privity with it, from any unauthorized use of Broadus' likeness, persona, speech pattern, or SNOOP DOGG trademark; and
- 2. Awarding Broadus all appropriate damages, in an amount to be determined at trial and which is no less than \$2,000,000, including treble damages and the return of all gains, profits, and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its infringing acts; and
- 3. Awarding Broadus punitive damages for Defendant's deliberate and willful conduct; and
- 4. Awarding Broadus his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action, as well as his disbursements; and

5. Awarding Broadus such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, Calvin Broadus, demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

ER 1363

Ellen Rosen Rogoff (Pa. I.D. #43648)
Eric M. Hurwitz (Pa. I.D. #84251)
Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP
2600 One Commerce Square
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
(215) 564-8058
(215) 564-8120
erogoff@stradley.com
ehurwitz@stradley.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Calvin Broadus

OF COUNSEL:

Hayes F. Michel Joshua W. Ruthizer PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 2049 Century Park East Los Angeles, California Phone: (310) 284-2900 Facsimile: (310) 557-2193 hmichel@proskauer.com

Dated: August 30, 2005

EXHIBIT A



United States Patent and Trademark Office

Home | Site Index | Search | FAQ | Glossary | Guides | Contacts | eBusiness | eBiz alerts | News | Help

Trademarks > Trademark Electronic Search System (TESS)

Trademark Electronic Search System(Tess)

TESS was last updated on Fri Aug 26 04:16:18 EDT 2005

HELP PREV LIST CURR LIST BROWSE DICT Воттом **NEW USER** STRUCTURED FREE FORM TESS HOME PREV DOC NEXT DOC LAST DOC FIRST DOC

Please logout when you are done to release system resources allocated for you. Logout

TTAB Status

Record 4 out of 8 Jump to record: Start | List At: OR

ASSIGN Status **TARR Status** Browser to return to TESS)

Typed Drawing

Word Mark

SNOOP DOGG

Goods and Services

IC 009, US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Series of musical sound and video recordings. FIRST

(Use the "Back" button of the Internet

USE: 19980811. FIRST USE IN COMMERCE: 19980811

IC 025. US 022 039. G & S: T-shirts and caps. FIRST USE: 19980000. FIRST USE IN

COMMERCE: 19980000

TDR Status

IC 041. US 100 101 107. G & S: Entertainment services in the nature of live musical performances and music-based entertainment. FIRST USE: 19980000. FIRST USE IN

COMMERCE: 19980000

Mark Drawing

Code

(1) TYPED DRAWING

Serial Number

76218071

Filing Date

February 28, 2001

Current Filing

Basis

1A

Original Filing

Basis

1A

Published for Opposition

December 24, 2002

Registration Number

2697128

Registration Date March 18, 2003

Owner

(REGISTRANT) Broadus, Calvin INDIVIDUAL UNITED STATES c/o Bloom, Hergott, Cook, Diemer and Klein, LLP 150 South Rodeo Drive, 3rd Floor Beverly Hills CALIFORNIA 90212

Attorney of Record

Lawrence B Apolzon

Prior

2278013

Registrations

Type of Mark

TRADEMARK. SERVICE MARK

Register

PRINCIPAL

Other Data

The wording "SNOOP DOGG" is a performing name that identifies a living individual whose

consent is of record.

Live/Dead

LIVE

Indicator

TESS HOME NEW USER STRUCTURED FREE FORM BROWSE DICT

TOP

HELP PREVIOUS CURRLIST MEXILIST

FIRST DOC PREV DOC NEXT DOC LAST DOC

| HOME | SITE INDEX | SEARCH | BUSINESS | HELP | PRIVACY POLICY

EXHIBIT B



A SPEAK FROM HUMIDITY
Hello Monday, hello cold fronti A sharp front slowly pushed through and we'il feel the change starting today.
We can expect a comfortable day with temperatures in the 80s but lower humidity as this drier air mass pushes into the region.



215-854-5900 215-854-5910 215-854-4993

215-854-5790 215-854-5524 215-854-5867 215-854-5852

Strong today, temperatures will slowly decrease at the shore. It will certainly be the tool spot as a dry, cool inland breaze whips in behind the front. More clouds are expected along the coastine as the cold front stalls out right off the mid-Atlantic coast. Thunderstorms may also be the case at the shore later today as a weak wave of low cressure runs along the frontal boundary.

INSIDE	TODAY	STAY
NEWS	PAGE	CITY DESK CITY DESK FAX
DEATHS	24	CONTEST LINE
HARRY S. GROSS NATION/WORLD	20 25	SPORTS SPORTS FAX
NATION/ WORLD		YO! / LIFESTYLE
DAILY VIEWS		YO! FAX CORRECTIONS
EDITORIALS	. 15	When we've made an erro clarify a point, we'll do th
LETTERS	16	4. If you have a concern a Coreszo Bioon at 215-864
YO!		DELIVERY
		Store / Newsstan
COVER STORY MOVIES	27 32-33	Home / Office Outside Philly
PUBLIC EYE	30-31	Hearing Impaired
TATTLE	30	
TELEVISION	36-37	ADS Classified
SPORTS		Display Eric I
SAM DONNELLON	90	BACK (SSUES (60
RICH HOFMANN	100	REPRINTS
SCOREBOARD	73-77	Stories KnightRi
COLUMNISTS		Permissions Philadel
JOHN M. BAER	. S	Photo
IN EVERY ISSU	<u> </u>	·
CLASSIFIED ADS	41-70	
COMICS	38-39	
CROSSWORD/PUZZI DEAR ABBY	ES 34 38	IJ:UI
HOROSCOPE	39	THE HEALTH

very issue	4.
SIFIED ADS CS SWORD/PUZZLES ABBY SCOPE ERY/LUCKY LEA	41-70 38-39 34 38 39 34
philly@com	

215-854-2750 215-665-1234 800-222-2765 215-854-2630

STAY IN TOUCH

phillynews.com 215-854-4446 BACK (55UES (60 days) 888-414-4534

printbuyer.com 888-825-3647 s@valecip.com 215-854-2628

400 N. Broad St. Philadelphia, Pa. 19130

Vol.81 No.121 © 2005 Philadelphia Newspapers Inc.



₩₩



HIGH & INSIDE

No Bush-league biker

ANCE ARMSTRONG WENT for a bike ride and wound up begging his riding partner for money.

Odd that Armstrong, seven-time Tour de strong, seven-time Tour de France champ and a very, very rich man himself, would beg for money? Not when his partner is President Bush, and the money he was seek

ing was for cancer research.
T've never asked someone for so much money before," Armstrong, a cancer survivor, told ABC's "This Week".

for yesterday's broadcast.

During their 2-hour ride on
Saturday at Bush's ranch in
Crawford, Texas, Armstrong urged Bush to spend more fed-eral money on cancer research. Armstrong said he commended Bush on his

Armstrong riding "That old boy can go,"
Armstrong said. "I didn't think
he would punish himself that much, but he did."



Bush

During the Kansas City Royals' 19-game los-

During the Kansas City Royals' 19-game losing streak, first baseman Mike Sweeney maintained a positive outlook on the game, and life.
While the team was in Seattle last week,
Sweeney reunited with 15-year-old cancer
patient Morgan Cain. The two first met in
2002 after Sweeney read a story about Cain
and learned he was the boy's favorite player.
Sweeney invited Cain and his fataily to a game

Sweeney invited can and an artifully to a game at Safeco Field then, and surprised them with tickets again last week. Cain, who is coming off a difficult sping treatment that has restricted him to a wheel-

treatment that has restricted into a wheel-chair, still has hopes of playing baseball again. "Morgan is restricted to a wheelchair, and his dream is that he can continue playing Lit-tle League baseball." Sweeney said. "Here we are pouting about a tough spell we're going through. We are still very blessed. We're doing something I've dreamt of doing since I was 4 years old." *

--- Bob Cooney



INDEX

McKIE A LAKER?

former Sixer > Aaron McKie has agreed to 2-year deal. Page 100

A LITTLE REALITY

Council Rock-Newtown goes down quickly but not quietly in Little League World Series Sam Donnellon, Page 90

TIGER AGAIN

Tiger Woods sts his fifth victory this year. Page 85

NFL Report 72

Fast Facts 80

Univ. Garges '81

U.S. Amateur 87

SPORTS DESK 215-854-5700 SPORTS FAX E-MAIL dnsports@ philiynews.com



Tonight's show will feature Dana Pennett O'Neil, Dick Jerardi, Les Bowen of the Daily News staff. Daily News Live, on Comcast SportsNet, is hosted by Michael Barkann. Today's host is Derrick Gunn.

COMCOST. Separation Sponsofficer

and the second of the contract of the contract